## BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:	)	200 PM 0 00 0 Fe
	)	100 (11) 0 (11) 9 58
APPLICATION OF MEMPHIS	)	
NETWORX, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE	)	ere
OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND	)	magasta O a servicio de la competitiva
NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTERSTATI	Ξ)	
TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES	)	
AND JOINT PETITION OF MEMPHIS	)	DOCKET NO. 99-00909
LIGHT, GAS & WATER DIVISION,	)	
A DIVISION OF THE CITY OF	)	
MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE ("MLG&W")	)	
AND A&L NETWORKS-TENNESSEE,	)	
LLC ("A&L") FOR APPROVAL OF	)	
AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN MLG&W	)	
AND A&L REGARDING OWNERSHIP	)	
OF MEMPHIS NETWORX, LLC.	)	

## OPPOSITION OF INTERVENOR INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 1288 TO MOTION FOR BIFURCATED HEARING SCHEDULE

COMES NOW the Intervenor, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1288 ("IBEW"), and in opposition to the Motion for Bifurcated Hearing Schedule filed by Intervenors, Time Warner Telecom of the Mid-South, L.P., Time Warner Communications of the Mid-South, L.P., and the Tennessee Cable Telecommunications Association ("Movants"), would state as follows:

- 1. On February 15, 2000, Movants filed a Petition to Intervene in the above docket. Pursuant to an Order entered February 15, 2000, said Petition was granted.
- 2. On February 15, 2000, Movants submitted six issues relevant to this docket. In addition to issues relevant to whether the proposed Joint Venture will comply with necessary statutory



requirements, Movants also raised the issue of whether Memphis Light, Gas & Water's ("MLG&W") ownership interest in Memphis Networx, L.L.C. ("Memphis Networx") violates Article II, Section 29 of the Tennessee Constitution. Movants also raised the issue of to what extent, if any, MLG&W's authorization to offer telecommunication services is affected by its charter and that of the City of Memphis. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the issues submitted by Movants.

- 3. On May 1, 2000, at the hearing scheduled in this matter, Movants, MLG&W and Memphis Networx announced that the parties had entered into settlement discussions. Subsequently, on May 2, 2000, an Amended Application of Memphis Networx LLC, entered into by Memphis Networx, MLG&W, and Movants was filed with the Authority. This Amended Application sets forth twelve Specific Conditions. None of these Conditions, however, address the constitutional or charter issues that were initially raised by Movants.
- 4. On May 5, 2000, MLG&W and Memphis Networx filed an Explanation of Settlement Agreement and Amendment to Application. In this Explanation, however, MLG&W and Memphis Networx again fail to specifically address the above issues.
- 5. In their Position Statement on the Amended Application filed May 5, 2000, Movants acknowledged that there may be issues appropriate for the Authority's consideration and determination. Movants requested that the Authority approve the Application as amended, but "in tandem with such approval" resolve any and all public policy issues relevant to the operations of Memphis Networx.
- 6. In their Motion for Bifurcated Hearing Schedule, Movants are now requesting that the Directors hold an initial hearing to determine whether the Settlement Agreement and

Amended Application be approved and adopted by Order as a condition to the Application. IBEW opposes such a bifurcated hearing. The fact that MLG&W, Memphis Networx, and the Movants have entered into a Settlement Agreement does not dispose of the constitutional and charter issues that the Movants initially raised. These issues remain and should be litigated in an adversarial hearing with full participation of all parties. Such issues should not be abandoned by the parties because of this proposed settlement.

- 7. IBEW submits that the issues raised by Movants in Paragraph 6 of their Motion further illustrate why a full hearing is required.
- 8. IBEW concurs with the Movants that a hearing not be scheduled between June 20, 2000 and July 5, 2000 due to scheduling conflicts with counsel for IBEW.

**WHEREFORE**, on the basis of the above, Intervenor respectfully requests that the Motion for Bifurcated Hearing Schedule be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

ALLEN, GODWIN, MORRIS, LAURENZI & BLOOMFIELD, P.C. 200 Jefferson Avenue, Suite 1400 Memphis, Tennessee 38103 (901) 528-1702

 $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{v}$ 

LEE J. BLOOMFIELD #8851

BY:

DEBORAH GODWIN #9972

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Lee J. Bloomfield, do hereby certify that on June 7, 2000, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record listed below via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, first class.

Richard Collier, Esquire Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0500

D. Billye Sanders, Esquire Waller, Lansden, Dortch & Davis 511 Union Street, Suite 2100 Nashville, TN 37219-1750

John Knox Walkup, Esquire Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs 511 Union Street, Suite 1500 Nashville, TN 37219-1750

Ward Huddleston Memphis Networx 7555 Appling Center Drive Memphis, TN 38133-5069

J. Maxwell Williams, Esquire MLG&W 220 South Main Street Memphis, TN 38103

Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. 414 Union Avenue, Suite 1600 P.O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 39219-8062

Charles B. Welch, Esquire Farris, Mathews, et al. 205 Capitol Blvd., Suite 303 Nashville, TN 37219 Guy Hicks, Esquire Patrick Turner, Esquire Bellsouth Telecommunications, Inc. 333 Commerce Street, Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

LEE J. BLOOMFIELD